

(check \square only one box for each question) $\boxed{\text{VTS}}$

ERAL PROCESSING



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) RE-INSPECTION (FUI)	COMPLAINT/D ARMS COMPLA		(CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0090222 DATE: <u>1/5/12</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:45</u>		DEPART: <u>12:31</u>	
FACILITY NAME: SPACE COAST CRUSHERS-ROCK	KLEDGE			
FACILITY LOCATION: 8800 HOLIDAY SPRING	GS RD			
ROCKLEDGE 32955				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: LLOY Email: CONTACT NAME: LLOYD GLOVER Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/2/2008 / 6/2/2013 (effective date) (end date)	YD GLOVER	PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: Mobile:	(321)636-2323 (407)832-3184 (321)636-2323 (407)832-3184	
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☐ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Michael Glover - Brief Notes:	Plant Manager		(check l	☑ only one ach question)
2. Is the Authorized Representative still LLOYD GLOVE If no, who is?:	R?			□No
If different, did the facility provide an administrative up 3. Is the facility contact still LLOYD GLOVER? If no, who is?:				□No □No
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's ins If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15				⊠No □No

Emissions Unit Section 1 –NMMP Plant-primary jaw crusher, electric power, 260T/hr cap

		(check ☑	only one
	t	ox for each	question)
Τc	the Emissions Unit (EU) subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart OOO – Nonmetallic Mineral Processin		1
	{Note: "Nonmetallic mineral" means any of the following minerals or any mixture of which the majorit is any of the following minerals: (1) Crushed and Broken Stone, including Limestone, Dolomite, Granit Traprock, Sandstone, Quartz, Quartzite, Marl, Marble, Slate, Shale, Oil Shale, and Shell; (2) Sand and (3) Clay including Kaolin, Fireclay, Bentonite, Fuller's Earth, Ball Clay, and Common Clay; (4) Rock (5) Gypsum (natural or synthetic); (6) Sodium Compounds, including Sodium Carbonate, Sodium Chlorand Sodium Sulfate; (7) Pumice; (8) Gilsonite; (9) Talc and Pyrophyllite; (10) Boron, including Borax, and Colemanite; (11) Barite; (12) Fluorospar; (13) Feldspar; (14) Diatomite; (15)Perlite; (16) Vermic (17) Mica; (18) Kyanite, including Andalusite, Sillimanite, Topaz, and Dumortierite.}	y e, Gravel; Salt; ride, Kernite,	
ı.	Is the EU located at a fixed or portable nonmetallic mineral processing plant	N v	□ м.
2	or hot mix asphalt plant that has an aboveground crusher or grinding mill?	YesYes	□No □No
	Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed after August 31, 1983?		□No
	Is the EU one of the following?	Yes	No
T.	 □ crusher, □ grinding mill, □ bucket elevator, □ belt conveyor, □ bagging operation, □ storage bin, □ enclosed truck loading station □ enclosed railcar loading station; □ crusher or grinding mill at hot mix asphalt plant that reduces the size of nonmetallic minerals embedded in recycled asphalt pavement or subsequent emissions unit up to, but not including, the first storage silo or bin; □ screening operation (a device for separating material according to size by passing undersize material through one or more mesh surfaces (screens) in series, and retaining oversize material on the mesh surfaces. Grizzly feeders associated with truck dumping and static (non-moving) grizzlies used anywhere in the nonmetallic mineral processing plant are not considered to be screening operations.) □ building enclosing any of the above EUs if all enclosed EUs are not individually in compliance with emissions limits. {A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from one or more affected EUs.} 		
	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or		
	subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process		-
,	any other EU that is subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F or subpart I?	Yes Yes	⊠No
0.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a capacity less than or equal to 23 megagrams/hour (25 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a		∠J10
	capacity less than or equal to 136 megagrams/hour (150 tons/hour)?	Yes	⊠No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or equal to 9 megagrams/hour (10 tons/hour)?	☐ Yes	⊠No

<u>1 –NMMP Plant-primary jaw crusher, electric power, 260T/hr cap</u>

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis.	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/5/2008		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? \[\] N/A	Yes	∐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No

1 –NMMP Plant-primary jaw crusher, electric power, 260T/hr cap

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: □ conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; □ uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); □ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or □ none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

1 –NMMP Plant-primary jaw crusher, electric power, 260T/hr cap

22. If the EU is a building enclosing any		and all enclosed EUs are not		
individually in compliance with emi				
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfor	med on each vent contro	ol device within 180 days of		
initial startup of the EU?			/A L Yes	☐ No
{A "vent" is any opening through whi				
purpose of exhausting from a building one or more affected EUs.}	air carrying pariicuiai	te matter (FM) emissions from		
b. Was the EU found to be in complia	once with the DM limit	of 0.05 g/dsom (0.022 gr/dsof)?	Yes	□No
c. Were initial fugitive emissions from				□No
e. Were initial rugitive clinissions from	ii non vent bunding opt	chings less than of equal to 770	opacity res	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control en	nissions from the EU?		Yes	□No
If yes, does the owner/operator mainta			_	
a. a device for the continuous measur	ement of the pressure lo	oss of the gas stream through th	e	
scrubber and the device has been				
instructions?			_	□No
{Note: The monitoring device m	•	nanufacturer to be accurate with	hin +250	
pascals +1 inch water gauge pre	ssure.}			
and	. 6.1 11.	1 1.0	1.1	
b. a device for the continuous measur				□ Na
device has been calibrated on an {Note: The monitoring device m				□No
of design scrubbing liquid flow		nanuracturer to be accurate with	IIIII +3 70	
or design serdoonig fiquid flow	idic. j			
24. When was the last VE test conducte	d by the owner/operat	tor for this EU?		
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60 s			years? Yes	□No
	b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpart OOO:			
 has the EU been tested during 				⊠No
ii. has the EU been tested yet wi	thin the current calenda	r year?		⊠No
25. Was a VE test conducted by the owner/operator for this unit during this site visit? YesNo				
a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? YesNo				
Rate:				
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi	ng to EPA Method 9? -			□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average.				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	liance with the opacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	□No
26. Was a VE test conducted by the inst				⊠No
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pro	cess rate that is represe	ntative of the normal rate?		∐No
Rate:	EDAM (1 100		□ 3 7	□ N.T.
b. Was the VE test conducted accordi			Yes	□No
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity of% for the highest six-minute average. d. Did the VE test demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit? (See chart below) YesNo				
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp.	nunce with the opacity	mint: (See chart below).	1 cs	
	VE Opac		T	
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU	
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modif	· ·
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed o	n or
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008	
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%	
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	

Emissions Unit Section 9 –NMMP Plant-diesel power unit, secondary screening operation

 2. 	Is the EU located above ground (i.e., not in an underground mine)?	vel; nite, Yes Yes	∑No ∑No ∑No
3. 4.			i.No ⊠No
sul	answer to any of the four Questions 1 -4 above is "No" then the EU is not subject to bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24. the answer to all of the four Questions 1-4 above is "Yes" then continue to Question 5.		
5.	Is the EU subject to 40 CFR part 60 subpart F (Portland Cement Plants) or subpart I (Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities), or does it follow in the plant process	W.	□ N.
6.	Is the EU located at a fixed sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	∐No
7.	Is the EU located at a portable sand and gravel plant or crushed stone plant with a	Yes	∐No
8.	Is the EU located at a common clay plant or pumice plant with capacity less than or	Yes Yes	No No

9 -NMMP Plant-diesel power unit, secondary screening operation

9.	Is the EU a wet screening operation or subsequent screening operation, bucket elevator or		
	belt conveyor in a production line that processes saturated material up to the first crusher,		
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: "wet screening operation" means a screening operation which removes unwanted material or		
	which separates marketable fines from the product by a washing process which is designed and operate	ed	
	at all times such that the product is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral materia	l	
	with sufficient surface moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processis.	ng	
	of the material through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wet	ted	
	solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
10	Is the EU a screening operation, bucket elevator or belt conveyor in the production line		
	downstream of wet mining operation that process saturated material up to the first crusher,	_	_
	grinding mill or storage bin in the production line?	☐ Yes	⊠No
	{Note: Wet mining operation means a mining or dredging operation designed and operated to extract		
	any nonmetallic mineral from deposits existing at or below the water table, where the nonmetallic		
	mineral is saturated with water. "Saturated material" means mineral material with sufficient surface		
	moisture such that particulate matter emissions are not generated from processing of the material		
	through screening operations, bucket elevators and belt conveyors. Material that is wetted solely by wet suppression systems is not considered to be "saturated" for purposes of this definition.}		
	answer to any of the six Questions 5-10 above is "Yes" then the EU is not subject to		
	bpart OOO so skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
I f	the answer to all of the six Questions 5-10 above is "No" then continue to Question 11.		
11	.When was the EU last constructed, modified, or reconstructed? 6/5/2008		
12	. Was the EU constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008?	⊠ Yes	□No
I f	answer to Question 12 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 20		
13	.Does the EU have a particulate matter capture system (equipment including enclosures,		_
	Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	∐ Yes	⊠No
I f	answer to Question 13 is "No" skip the following questions and go directly to Question 19		
14	.Initial Tests:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of	_	
	initial startup of the EU? N/A	∐ Yes	∐ No
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on any fugitive emissions (escaping capture system)?	Yes	∐No
	d. If yes, was the opacity less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No
15	.If the EU is a building enclosing any other regulated EUs and all enclosed EUs are not		
	individually in compliance with emissions limits:		
	a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on each vent control device within 180 days of	_	_
	initial startup of the EU? \[\] N/A	Yes	∐ No
	{A "vent" is any opening through which there is mechanically induced air flow for the		
	purpose of exhausting from a building air carrying particulate matter (PM) emissions from		
	one or more affected EUs.}		
	b. If yes, was the EU found to be in compliance with the PM limit of 0.032 g/dscm (0.014 gr/dscf)?	∐ Yes	∐No
	c. Was an initial VE test performed on fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings?	Yes	□No
	d. Were initial fugitive emissions from non-vent building openings less than or equal to 7% opacity?	∐ Yes	∐No

9 -NMMP Plant-diesel power unit, secondary screening operation

16. Is a baghouse used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	No
If yes, the owner operator: □ conducts quarterly 30-minute VE tests using Method 22; □ uses a bag leak detection system specified in 40 CFR 60.674(d); □ follows the requirements of 40 CFR 63AAAAA Lime Manufacturi as specified in 40 CFR 60.674(e); or □ none of the above (i.e., out of compliance)	ng	
17. If the EU is an individual, enclosed storage bin controlled by a baghouse, were initial fugitive emissions less than or equal to 7% opacity? N/A	☐ Yes	☐ No
18. Is a wet scrubber used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
a. a device for the continuous measurement of the pressure loss of the gas stream through the scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions?		□No
 b. a device for the continuous measurement of the scrubbing liquid flow rate to the wet scrubber and the device has been calibrated on an annual basis in accordance with manufacturer's instructions? {Note: The monitoring device must be certified by the manufacturer to be accurate within +5% of design scrubbing liquid flow rate.} 		□No
19. Is wet suppression used to control emissions from the EU?	Yes	□No
 If yes: a. Does the owner/operator perform monthly inspections to check that water is flowing to the discharge spray nozzles? b. Does the owner/operator initiate corrective action within 24 hours and complete corrective action as expediently as practical is water is not flowing properly? c. Is each inspection of the spray nozzles, including the date and any corrective action taken, recorded in the written or electronic logbook as required by 40 CFR 60.676(b)?	☐ Yes	⊠No
If the EU was constructed, modified, or reconstructed on or after 4/22/2008 skip the following questions and go directly to Question 24.		
20. Does the EU have a particulate matter <i>capture system</i> (equipment including enclosures, Hoods, fans, dampers, etc.) to capture and transport particulate matter to a control device?	Yes	□No
21. Initial Tests: a. Was an initial PM stack test performed on the control device within 180 days of initial startup of the EU?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	☐ No ☐No ☐No ☐No

9 -NMMP Plant-diesel power unit, secondary screening operation

22. If the EU is a building enclosing ar		and all enclosed EUs are not			
individually in compliance with en					
a. Was an initial PM stack test perfo			_	_	
initial startup of the EU?			I/A	☐ No	
{A "vent" is any opening through wh					
purpose of exhausting from a buildin	g air carrying particula	te matter (PM) emissions from			
one or more affected EUs.}			_		
b. Was the EU found to be in compl				No	
c. Were initial fugitive emissions fro	om non-vent building op	penings less than or equal to 7%	opacity? Yes	□No	
23. Is a wet scrubber used to control e	missions from the EU?)	Yes	□No	
If yes, does the owner/operator main			_		
a. a device for the continuous measu		loss of the gas stream through th	ne		
		al basis in accordance with man			
				No	
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			
pascals +1 inch water gauge pro	•				
and	0000000				
b. a device for the continuous measu	rement of the scrubbing	liquid flow rate to the wet scru	bber and the		
		lance with manufacturer's instru		No	
		manufacturer to be accurate wit			
of design scrubbing liquid flow		manufacturer to be accurate with	370		
	,				
24. When was the last VE test conduct	ed by the owner/opera	tor for this EU?			
a. If EU is not subject to 40 CFR 60			years? Yes	No	
b. If EU is subject to 40 CFR subpar		se seen testee waam me past e	jeazs		
		endar vears?	Yes	No	
i. has the EU been tested during each of the past 4 calendar years? YesNo ii. has the EU been tested yet within the current calendar year? YesNo					
25. Was a VE test conducted by the <i>owner/operator</i> for this unit during this site visit? Yes No					
	a. Was the VE test conducted at a process rate that is representative of the normal rate? Yes				
Rate:					
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to EPA Method 9?		Yes	No	
c. The VE test resulted in an opacity	of % for the high	nest six-minute average			
d. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	oliance with the onacity	limit? (See chart below)	Yes	No	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com	mance with the opacity	mint. (See chart selow).			
26. Was a VE test conducted by the in	s <i>nector</i> for this unit du	ring this site visit?	Yes	⊠No	
a. Was the VE test conducted at a pr				□No	
Rate:	occss rate that is represe	chative of the normal rate:			
b. Was the VE test conducted accord	ling to FPA Method 99		Yes	□No	
c. The VE test conducted accord			1C3	10	
d. Did the VE test demonstrate com			Yes	□No	
a. Did the VE test demonstrate comp	phanee with the opacity	mint: (Bee chart below)	<u> </u>	140	
		city Limits	T		
	EU not subject to	Subpart OOO EU	Subpart OOO EU		
	40 CFR 60	constructed, modified,	constructed, modifi	ed,	
	Subpart OOO	or reconstructed prior	or reconstructed on		
		to 4/22/2008	after 4/22/2008		
Crusher with no capture system	20%	15%	12%		
All other affected EUs	20%	10%	7%	I	

Facility Section (continued)

REASONABLE PRECAUTIONS FOR UNCONFINED EMISSIONS	(check 🗹 box for each o	•
1. Does the owner/operator of the NMMP Plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined		
emissions by: a) Use of water suppression system(s) with spray bars located wherever unconfined emissions occur (at the feeder(s), the entrance and exit of the crusher(s), the classifier screens, and the conveyor drop points)?	⊠ Yes	□ No
b) Use of water trucks equipped with spray bars to apply water or effective dust suppressant(s) on a regular basis (to all stockpiles, roadways and work yards)? N/A c) Paving and maintaining roads and parking areas? N/A d) Removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control	∑ Yes ∑ Yes	☐ No ☐ No
of the owner/operator to prevent re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? \ N/A	⊠ Yes	□ No
e) Reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles? N/A	Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a) Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? N/A b) If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c) What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes ☐ Yes	□ No □No
CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY 1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit.	(check 🗹 o	only one question)
Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a) 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b) 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c) 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	· 🛛 Yes	□No □No □No
2. Does this facility include: a) any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	r	⊠No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		

3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a) 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	☐ Yes ✓ Yes ✓ Stion	No No No No No
GENERAL CONDITIONS	(ahaak 🔽	only one
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or	(check ☑ ox for each q	only one uestion)
Allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠No
	Yes	□No
b) ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?	⊠ Yes	□No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general	⊠ Yes	□No
RELOCATABLE PLANT		
	(check ☑	only one uestion)
 2. For a relocated NMMP plant: a) did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Local Air Program by telephone, e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day prior to changing location? b) did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)] to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five business days following relocation? 		□No
3. If the relocatable NMMP plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air construction or air operation permit, and the relocatable NMMP plant is not included as an emissions unit in that separate permit: a) was the relocatable NMMP plant being used for a non-routine purpose?	Yes Yes Yes	□No □No □No
11 125, were any periods more dian o mondis in any consecutive 12-mondi period?	1 es	140

CHANGES Administrative Changes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
 Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admits. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days 	n of the facility or any emissions units or inistrative change at the facility? Yes \init\text{\init}\text{No}
New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a) Installation of any new process equipment? b) Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement c) Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is subs d) A change in ownership? 4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registrati 30 days prior to the change?	? Yes ⊠No tantially different? Yes ⊠No Yes ⊠No on form and the appropriate fee submitted No
Wanda Parker-Garvin	1/5/12
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection
Wanda Parker Lawin	
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspection

COMMENTS: Ms. Wanda Parker-Garvin of FDEP made contact with Mr. Micahael Glover, Plant Manger with Space Coast Crusher, Inc. to conduct a compliance inspection. Mr. Glover accompanied Ms. Parker-Garvin on a walkthrough of the crusher unit which consisted of a jaw crusher, a feeder, four (4) additional crushers, three (3) screeners and two (2) diesel powered generators. Mr. Glover stated the crusher unit uses 400 gallons of diesel per month and the site does not have any other generators. Ms. Parker-Garvin used compliance assistance to inform Mr. Glover of the Subpart OOO requirements including keeping monthly fuel consumption logs for the permitted generators. The crusher has two (2) top and one (1) bottom sprinkler for dust control. The site dust control utilizes a water truck and several rain canons located throughout the facility. Mr. Glover stated there were no changes to the facility since the installation. Ms. Parker-Garvin did not observed any unconfined emissions.

rec'd 1/30/2012